

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
10/769,534	01/30/2004	Alejandro Hurtado	42P17764	8641	
8791	7590 05/23/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			HA, NAT	HA, NATHAN W	
SEVENTH FI	LOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGEL	S, CA 90025-1030		2814		
			D. T. L.		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/769,534	HURTADO, ALEJANDRO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nathan W. Ha	2814	

D. C. C. Elli C. A D. J. C.							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nathan W. Ha	2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a New (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) Me period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states of the shortened	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must a AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	·	ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-6.8,14,15 and 18-22 . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 23-27 .		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>25-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	out before or on the date of filing a l and sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>residence</u>	not be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
		^					
		, 1 -1.					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First, the actual allowed claims are 1-6,8,14-15, and 18-22 (as stated in PTO-326 form.) The rejected claims are 23-27. Furthermore, Applicants contend that the cited art does not teach claimed limitations of claim 23, for example. Applicants particularly allege that none of the embodiments deal with putting a releasing plastic film on a sheet resin composition after the sheet resin is applied to a wafer surface. This limitation is not recited in claim 23, for example.